

## SOLVING AN INTEGRAL EQUATION VIA INTUITIONISTIC FUZZY BIPOLAR METRIC SPACES

Gunaseelan Mani<sup>1</sup>, Arul Joseph Gnanaprakasam<sup>2</sup>, Nasreen Kausar<sup>3,\*</sup>,  
Muhammad Munir<sup>4</sup>, Salma Khan<sup>5</sup> and Ebru Ozbilge<sup>6,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics, Saveetha School of Engineering, Saveetha Institute of Medical and Technical Sciences, Chennai 602105, Tamil Nadu, India

<sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics, College of Engineering and Technology, Faculty of Engineering and Technology, SRM Institute of Science and Technology, SRM Nagar, Kattankulathur 603203, Kanchipuram, Chennai, Tamil Nadu, India

<sup>3</sup>Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Arts and Sciences, Yildiz Technical University, Esenler 34220, Istanbul, Turkey

<sup>4</sup>Department of Mathematics, Government Postgraduate College, Abbottabad, Pakistan

<sup>5</sup>Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Hazara University Mansehra 21120, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Pakistan

<sup>6</sup>Department of Mathematics and Statistics, American University of the Middle East, Egaila, 54200 Kuwait

Received: 23 December 2022;

Accepted: 7 July 2023;

Available online: 8 July 2023.

*Original scientific paper*

**Abstract** *In this paper, we introduce the notion of intuitionistic fuzzy bipolar metric space and prove fixed point theorems. Our results are extension or generalisation of results proved in the literature. The derived results are substantiated with suitable example and an application.*

**Key words:** *Intuitionistic Fuzzy Bipolar Metric Space; Fixed Point Results; c.*

### 1. Introduction

Zadeh (Zadeh, 1965) initiated the idea of fuzzy sets. The rapid evolution of fuzzy is simple to handle and makes it possible to investigate the level of uncertainty in nature in a purely formal and mathematical approach. The concept of continuous -  $t$  norms defined by Schweizer and Sklar (1960). Kramosil and Michalek (1975) compared and got the results from probabilistic, statistical generalisations of metric spaces by introducing the notion of fuzziness via continuous  $t$ - norms to the traditional notion of metric. The one Garbiec (1988) who interpreted the fuzzy concept of Banach contraction

\* Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: [mathsguna@yahoo.com](mailto:mathsguna@yahoo.com) (G. Mani), [aruljoseph.alex@gmail.com](mailto:aruljoseph.alex@gmail.com) (A.J. Gnanaprakasam), [kausar.nasreen57@gmail.com](mailto:kausar.nasreen57@gmail.com) (N. Kausar), [dr.mohammadmunir@gmail.com](mailto:dr.mohammadmunir@gmail.com) (M. Munir), [salmakhan359@gmail.com](mailto:salmakhan359@gmail.com) (S. Khan), [ebru.kahveci@aum.edu.kw](mailto:ebru.kahveci@aum.edu.kw) (E. Ozbilge).

principle in fuzzy metric spaces.  $\alpha - \phi$ - fuzzy cone contraction results were proved with integral equation application by S.U Rehman et al. (2021).

Only membership functions are dealt within fuzzy metric spaces. Park (2004) established an intuitionistic fuzzy metric space which is used to distribute with both membership and non-membership functions. The idea of an intuitionistic fuzzy b-metric space was introduced by Konwar (2020), who also demonstrated a number of fixed point theorems. Mutlu and Gurdal (2016), introduced the notion of bipolar metric spaces and proved fixed point theorems. In the recent past many researchers have established various fixed point results using various types of contractions in the setting of bipolar metric spaces (Kishore et al., 2018; Rao et al., 2018; Kishore, Prasad, et al., 2019; Kishore, Rao, et al., 2019; Grdal et al., 2020; Mutlu et al., 2020; Kishore et al., 2021; Roy & Saha, 2020; Gaba et al., 2021; Roy & Saha, 2021; Roy et al., 2022) . In 2012 Shah et al. (2012a) developed the theme of intuitionistic fuzzy normal subgroups over a non associative rings. Kausar and Waqar (2019) have initiated the concept of non-associative rings by their intuitionistic fuzzy bi-ideals. Furthermore in 2019 Kausar (2019) developed non-associative ordered semigroups by the properties of their fuzzy ideals with thresholds. Moreover Shah et al. (2012b) introduced the notion of intuitionistic fuzzy normal subrings over a non-associative ring.

In this paper, we introduce the notion of intuitionistic fuzzy bipolar metric space and prove fixed point theorems.

## 2. Preliminaries

In this section, we provide some basic definitions.

**Definition 2.1** (Park, 2004) A binary operation  $*$ :  $[0,1] \times [0,1] \rightarrow [0,1]$  is called a continuous triangle norm(CTN) if:

1.  $\nu * \xi = \xi * \nu, (\forall) \nu, \xi \in [0,1];$
2.  $*$  is continuous;
3.  $\nu * 1 = \nu, (\forall) \nu \in [0,1];$
4.  $(\nu * \xi) * \omega = \nu * (\xi * \omega),$  for all  $\nu, \xi, \omega \in [0,1];$
5. If  $\nu \leq \omega$  and  $\xi \leq \eta,$  with  $\nu, \xi, \omega, \eta \in [0,1],$  then  $\nu * \xi \leq \omega * \eta.$

**Definition 2.2** (Park, 2004) A binary operation  $\circ$ :  $[0,1] \times [0,1] \rightarrow [0,1]$  is called a continuous triangle co-norm(CTCN) if:

1.  $\nu \circ \xi = \xi \circ \nu,$  for all  $\nu, \xi \in [0,1];$
1.  $\circ$  is continuous;
2.  $\nu \circ 0 = 0,$  for all  $\nu \in [0,1];$
3.  $(\nu \circ \xi) \circ \omega = \nu \circ (\xi \circ \omega),$  for all  $\nu, \xi, \omega \in [0,1];$
4. If  $\nu \leq \omega$  and  $\omega \leq \eta,$  with  $\nu, \xi, \omega, \eta \in [0,1],$  then  $\nu \circ \xi \leq \omega \circ \eta.$

**Definition 2.3** (Konwar, 2020) Take  $\Omega \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $*$  be a CTN,  $\circ$  be a CTCN,  $b \geq 1$  and  $\Gamma, Y$  be fuzzy sets on  $\Omega \times \Omega \times (0, +\infty)$ . If  $(\Omega, \Gamma, Y, *, \circ)$  fulfils all  $\aleph, \Lambda \in \Omega$  and  $\psi, \varphi > 0$ :

1.  $\Gamma(\aleph, \Lambda, \varphi) + Y(\aleph, \Lambda, \varphi) \leq 1$ ;
2.  $\Gamma(\aleph, \Lambda, \varphi) > 0$ ;
3.  $\Gamma(\aleph, \Lambda, \varphi) = 1 \Leftrightarrow \aleph = \Lambda$ ;
4.  $\Gamma(\aleph, \Lambda, \varphi) = \Gamma(\Lambda, \aleph, \varphi)$ ;
5.  $\Gamma(\aleph, \lambda, b(\varphi + \psi)) \geq \Gamma(\aleph, \Lambda, \varphi) * \Gamma(\Lambda, \lambda, \psi)$ ;
6.  $\Gamma(\aleph, \Lambda, \cdot)$  is an increasing function of  $\mathbb{R}^+$  and  $\lim_{\varphi \rightarrow +\infty} \Gamma(\aleph, \Lambda, \varphi) = 1$ ;
7.  $Y(\aleph, \Lambda, \varphi) > 0$ ;
8.  $Y(\aleph, \Lambda, \varphi) = 0 \Leftrightarrow \aleph = \Lambda$ ;
9.  $Y(\aleph, \Lambda, \varphi) = Y(\Lambda, \aleph, \varphi)$ ;
10.  $Y(\aleph, \lambda, b(\varphi + \psi)) \leq Y(\aleph, \Lambda, \varphi) \circ Y(\Lambda, \lambda, \psi)$ ;
11.  $Y(\aleph, \Lambda, \cdot)$  is a decreasing function of  $\mathbb{R}^+$  and  $\lim_{\varphi \rightarrow +\infty} Y(\aleph, \Lambda, \varphi) = 0$ ,

Then,  $(\Omega, \Gamma, Y, *, \circ)$  is an intuitionistic fuzzy  $b$ -metric space.

**Definition 2.4** (Mutlu & Gürdal, 2016) Let  $\Omega$  and  $\theta$  be non-void sets and  $\varrho: \Omega \times \theta \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$  be a function, such that

1.  $\varrho(\aleph, \Lambda) = 0$  if and only if  $\aleph = \Lambda$ , for all  $(\aleph, \Lambda) \in \Omega \times \theta$ ;
2.  $\varrho(\aleph, \Lambda) = \varrho(\aleph, \Lambda)$ , for all  $(\aleph, \Lambda) \in \Omega \cap \theta$ ;
3.  $\varrho(\aleph, \Lambda) \leq \varrho(\aleph, \gamma) + \varrho(\aleph_1, \gamma) + \varrho(\aleph_1, \Lambda)$ , for all  $\aleph, \aleph_1 \in \Omega$  and  $\gamma, \Lambda \in \theta$ .

The pair  $(\Omega, \theta, \varrho)$  is called a bipolar metric space.

**Definition 2.5** (Shah et al., 2012b) Let  $\Omega$  and  $\theta$  be two non-void sets. We say that quadruple  $(\Omega, \theta, \Gamma, *)$  fuzzy bipolar metric space if  $*$  is continuous  $q$ -norm and  $\Gamma$  is a fuzzy set on  $\Omega \times \theta \times (0, \infty)$ , fulfill the following conditions for all  $q, \omega, r > 0$ :

1.  $\Gamma(\aleph, \Lambda, \varphi) > 0$ ; for all  $(\aleph, \Lambda) \in \Omega \times \theta$ ;
2.  $\Gamma(\aleph, \Lambda, \varphi) = 1$  iff  $\aleph = \Lambda$  for  $\aleph \in \Omega$  and  $\Lambda \in \theta$ ;
3.  $\Gamma(\aleph, \Lambda, \varphi) = \Gamma(\Lambda, \aleph, \varphi)$  for all  $\aleph, \Lambda \in \Omega \cap \theta$ ;
4.  $\Gamma(\aleph_1, \eta_2, q + \omega + r) \geq \Gamma(\aleph_1, \lambda_1, q) * \Gamma(\aleph_2, \lambda_1, \omega) * \Gamma(\aleph_2, \lambda_2, r)$  for all  $\aleph_1, \aleph_2 \in \Omega$  and  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \theta$ ;

5.  $\Gamma((\aleph, A, \cdot) : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is left continuous;
6.  $\Gamma(\aleph, A, \cdot)$  is non-decreasing for all  $\aleph \in \Omega$  and  $A \in \Theta$ .

In this section, inspired by the notions of contraction mapping, and bipolar metric space, we introduce a new concept intuitionistic fuzzy bipolar metric space and prove some fixed-point theorems for these contraction mappings in the setting of complete intuitionistic bipolar metric space. Also, we give some examples to illustrate our results. Furthermore, we apply our results to show the existence and uniqueness of a solution of the first-order ordinary differential equation.

### 3. Fixed point theorems on intuitionistic fuzzy bipolar metric space:

In this section, we present intuitionistic fuzzy bipolar metric space and demonstrate some fixed-point results.

**Definition 3.1** Let  $\Omega \neq \emptyset$ ,  $\Theta \neq \emptyset$  be two sets and  $*$  be a CTN,  $\circ$  be a CTCN and  $\Gamma, Y$  be neutrosophic sets on  $\Omega \times \Theta \times (0, +\infty)$  is said to be a intuitionistic fuzzy bipolar metric on  $\Omega \times \Theta$ , if for all  $\aleph, \varpi \in \Omega$ ,  $A, \lambda \in \Theta$  and  $\varphi, \hat{s}, \hat{w} > 0$ , the following conditions are satisfied:

1.  $\Gamma(\aleph, A, \varphi) + Y(\aleph, A, \varphi) \leq 1$ ;
2.  $\Gamma(\aleph, A, \varphi) > 0$ ;
3.  $\Gamma(\aleph, A, \varphi) = 1$  for all  $\varphi > 0$ , if and only if  $\aleph = A$ ;
4.  $\Gamma(\aleph, A, \varphi) = \Gamma(A, \aleph, \varphi)$ ;
5.  $\Gamma(\aleph, \lambda, \varphi + \psi + \zeta) \geq \Gamma(\aleph, A, \varphi) * \Gamma(\varpi, A, \psi) * \Gamma(\varpi, \lambda, \zeta)$ ;
6.  $\Gamma(\aleph, A, \cdot) : (0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is continuous and  $\lim_{\varphi \rightarrow +\infty} \Gamma(\aleph, A, \varphi) = 1$ ;
7.  $\Gamma(\aleph, A, \cdot)$  is increasing function;
8.  $Y(\aleph, A, \varphi) < 1$ ;
9.  $Y(\aleph, A, \varphi) = 0$  for all  $\varphi > 0$ , if and only if  $\aleph = A$ ;
10.  $Y(\aleph, A, \varphi) = Y(A, \aleph, \varphi)$ ;
11.  $Y(\aleph, \lambda, \varphi + \psi + \zeta) \leq Y(\aleph, A, \varphi) \circ Y(\varpi, A, \psi) \circ Y(\varpi, \lambda, \zeta)$ ;
12.  $Y(\aleph, A, \cdot) : (0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is continuous and  $\lim_{\varphi \rightarrow +\infty} Y(\aleph, A, \varphi) = 0$ ;
13.  $Y(\aleph, A, \cdot)$  is decreasing function;
14. If  $\varphi \leq 0$ , then  $\Gamma(\aleph, A, \varphi) = 0$  and  $Y(\aleph, A, \varphi) = 1$ .

Then,  $(\Omega, \theta, \Gamma, Y, *, \circ)$  is called a intuitionistic fuzzy bipolar metric space.

**Example 3.1** Let  $\Omega = \{1,5,3,7\}$  and  $\theta = \{1,2,6,4\}$ . Define  $\Gamma, Y: \Omega \times \theta \times (0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0,1]$  as

$$\Gamma(\aleph, \Lambda, \varphi) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \aleph = \Lambda \\ \frac{\varphi}{\varphi + \max\{\aleph, \Lambda\}}, & \text{if otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

and

$$Y(\aleph, \Lambda, \varphi) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } \aleph = \Lambda \\ \frac{\max\{\aleph, \Lambda\}}{\varphi + \max\{\aleph, \Lambda\}}, & \text{if otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then,  $(\Omega, \Gamma, Y, *, \circ)$  is an intuitionistic fuzzy bipolar metric space with CTN,  $\nu * \xi = \nu\xi$  and CTCN,

$$\nu \circ \bar{a} = \max\{\nu, \bar{a}\}.$$

**Proof.** Here, we prove 3.1 and 3.1, others are obvious.

Let  $\aleph = 1, \Lambda = 2, \varpi = 3$  and  $\lambda = 4$ . Then

$$\Gamma(1,4, \varphi + \psi + \zeta) = \frac{\varphi + \psi + \zeta}{\varphi + \psi + \zeta + \max\{1,4\}} = \frac{\varphi + \psi + \zeta}{\varphi + \psi + \zeta + 4}.$$

On the other hand,

$$\Gamma(1,2, \varphi) = \frac{\varphi}{\varphi + \max\{1,2\}} = \frac{\varphi}{\varphi + 2} = \frac{\varphi}{\varphi + 2},$$

$$\Gamma(2,3, \psi) = \frac{\psi}{\psi + \max\{2,3\}} = \frac{\psi}{\psi + 3} = \frac{\psi}{\psi + 3}$$

and

$$\Gamma(3,4, \zeta) = \frac{\zeta}{\zeta + \max\{3,4\}} = \frac{\zeta}{\zeta + 4} = \frac{\zeta}{\zeta + 4}.$$

Therefore,

$$\frac{\varphi + \psi + \zeta}{\varphi + \psi + \zeta + 3} \geq \frac{\varphi}{\varphi + 2} \cdot \frac{\psi}{\psi + 3} \cdot \frac{\zeta}{\zeta + 4}.$$

Hence,

$$\Gamma(\aleph, \lambda, \varphi + \psi + \zeta) \geq \Gamma(\aleph, \Lambda, \varphi) * \Gamma(\Lambda, \varpi, \psi) * \Gamma(\varpi, \lambda, \zeta), \quad \forall \varphi, \psi, \zeta > 0.$$

Now,

$$Y(1,4, \varphi + \psi + \zeta) = \frac{\max\{1,4\}}{\varphi + \psi + \zeta + \max\{1,4\}} = \frac{4}{\varphi + \psi + \zeta + 4}.$$

On the other hand,

$$Y(1,2, \varphi) = \frac{\max\{1,2\}}{\varphi + \max\{1,2\}} = \frac{2}{\varphi + 2} = \frac{2}{\varphi + 2}$$

$$Y(2,3, \psi) = \frac{\max\{2,3\}}{\psi + \max\{2,3\}} = \frac{3}{\psi + 3} = \frac{3}{\psi + 3}$$

and

$$Y(3,4, \zeta) = \frac{\max\{3,4\}}{\zeta + \max\{3,4\}} = \frac{4}{\zeta + 4} = \frac{4}{\zeta + 4}.$$

That is,

$$\frac{4}{\varphi + \psi + \zeta + 4} \leq \max\left\{\frac{2}{\varphi + 2}, \frac{3}{\psi + 3}, \frac{4}{\zeta + 4}\right\}.$$

Therefore,

$$Y(\aleph, \lambda, \varphi + \psi + \zeta) \leq Y(\aleph, \lambda, \varphi) \circ Y(\varpi, \lambda, \psi) \circ Y(\varpi, \lambda, \zeta), \quad \forall \varphi, \psi, \zeta > 0.$$

Hence,  $(\Omega, \Gamma, Y, *, \circ)$  is an intuitionistic fuzzy bipolar metric space.

**Definition 3.2** Let  $p: \Omega_1 \cup \theta_1 \rightarrow \Omega_2 \cup \theta_2$  be a mapping, where  $(\Omega_1, \theta_1)$  and  $(\Omega_2, \theta_2)$  are pairs of sets  $(H)$

1. If  $p(\Omega_1) \subseteq \Omega_2$  and  $p(\theta_1) \subseteq \theta_2$ , then  $p$  is called a covariant map, or a map from  $(\Omega_1, \theta_1, \Gamma_1, Y_1, *, \circ)$  to  $(\Omega_2, \theta_2, \Gamma_2, Y_2, *, \circ)$  and this is written as  $p: (\Omega_1, \theta_1, \Gamma_1, Y_1, *, \circ) \rightrightarrows (\Omega_2, \theta_2, \Gamma_2, Y_2, *, \circ)$ .
2. If  $p(\Omega_1) \subseteq \theta_2$  and  $p(\theta_1) \subseteq \Omega_2$ , then  $p$  is called a contravariant map from  $(\Omega_1, \theta_1, \Gamma_1, Y_1, *, \circ)$  to  $(\Omega_2, \theta_2, \Gamma_2, Y_2, *, \circ)$  and this is denoted as  $p: (\Omega_1, \theta_1, \Gamma_1, Y_1, *, \circ) \leftrightsquigarrow (\Omega_2, \theta_2, \Gamma_2, Y_2, *, \circ)$ .

**Example 3.2** If  $\Omega_1 \cup \theta_1 = X = \{0, 1\}$ , then  $p(X) = \{\{\}, \{0\}, \{1\}, X\}$ . Supposet  $\Gamma(0)=\{\}$  and  $\Gamma(1) = X$ . Then  $p(\Gamma)$  is the functon which sends any subset  $U$  of  $X$  to its image  $\Gamma(U)$ , which in this case means  $\{\} \rightarrow \Gamma(\{\}) = \{\}$ , where  $\rightarrow$  denotes the mapping under  $p(\Gamma)$ , so this could also be written as  $(p(\Gamma))(\{\}) = \{\}$ . For the other values,  $\{0\} \rightarrow \Gamma(\{0\}) = \{\Gamma(0)\} = \{\{\}\}$ ,  $\{1\} \rightarrow \Gamma(\{1\}) = \{\Gamma(1)\} = \{X\}$ ,  $\{0, 1\} \rightarrow \Gamma(\{0, 1\}) = \{\Gamma(0), \Gamma(1)\} = \{\{\}, X\}$ .

**Definition 3.3** Let  $(\Omega, \theta, \Gamma, Y, *, \circ)$  is a intuitionistic fuzzy bipolar metric space.

1. A point  $\aleph \in \Omega \cup \theta$  is said to be a left point if  $\aleph \in \Omega$ , a right point if  $\aleph \in \theta$  and a central point if both hold.
2. A sequence  $\{\aleph_\alpha\} \subset \Omega$  is called a left sequence and a sequence  $\{\beta_n\} \subset \theta$  is called a right sequence.
3. A sequence  $\{\aleph_\alpha\} \subset \Omega \cup \theta$  is said to converge to a point  $\aleph$  if and only if  $\{\aleph_\alpha\}$  is a left sequence,  $\aleph$  is a right point and

$$\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow +\infty} \Gamma(\aleph_\alpha, \aleph, \varphi) = 1, \quad \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow +\infty} Y(\aleph_\alpha, \aleph, \varphi) = 0, \quad \text{for all } \varphi > 0$$

or  $\{\aleph_\alpha\}$  is a right sequence,  $\aleph$  is a left point and

$$\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow +\infty} \Gamma(\aleph, \aleph_\alpha, \varphi) = 1, \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow +\infty} Y(\aleph, \aleph_\alpha, \varphi) = 0, \text{ for all } \varphi > 0.$$

4. A sequence  $\{(\aleph_\alpha, \beta_\alpha)\} \subset \Omega \times \Theta$  is called a bisequence. If the sequences  $\{\aleph_\alpha\}$  and  $\{\beta_\alpha\}$  are both converge, then the bisequence  $\{(\aleph_\alpha, \beta_\alpha)\}$  is called convergent in  $\Omega \times \Theta$ .

5. If  $\{\aleph_\alpha\}$  and  $\{\beta_\alpha\}$  are both converge to a point  $\beta \in \Omega \cap \Theta$ , then the bisequence  $\{(\aleph_\alpha, \beta_\alpha)\}$  is called biconvergent. A sequence  $\{(\aleph_\alpha, \beta_\alpha)\}$  is a Cauchy bisequence if

$$\lim_{\alpha, \kappa \rightarrow +\infty} \Gamma(\aleph_\alpha, \beta_\kappa, \varphi) = 1, \lim_{\alpha, \kappa \rightarrow +\infty} Y(\aleph_\alpha, \beta_\kappa, \varphi) = 0, \text{ for all } \varphi > 0.$$

6. A intuitionistic fuzzy bipolar metric space is said to be complete if every Cauchy bisequence is convergent.

**Example 3.3** Let  $\Omega = (1, \infty)$  and  $\Theta = [-1, 1]$ . Define  $\Gamma: \Omega \times \Theta \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  as  $\Gamma(\aleph, \Lambda) = |\aleph^2 - \Lambda^2|$ . Then  $(\Omega, \Theta, \Gamma, Y, *, \circ)$  is a intuitionistic fuzzy bipolar metric space. Note that the left sequence  $(1 + \frac{1}{\alpha})$  converges to right points 1 and -1.

**Example 3.4** Let  $\Omega = (0, 1)$ ,  $\Theta = (2, 3)$ . Consider the subspace  $(\Omega, \Theta, \Gamma)$  of the space  $(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}, \Gamma)$  where  $\Gamma$  is the usual metric on  $\mathbb{R}$ . Since  $\Gamma(\aleph, \Lambda) > 1$  for any  $(\aleph, \Lambda) \in \Omega \times \Theta$ , there is no Cauchy bisequence in  $(\Omega, \Theta, \Gamma)$ . Thus, it is vacuously true that  $(\Omega, \Theta, \Gamma)$  is complete. However, note that  $\Gamma\Omega$  is equal to the usual metric on  $\Omega = (0, 1)$  and  $(\Omega, \Gamma\Omega)$  is not complete, hence  $(\Omega, \Theta, \Gamma)$  is not bi complete.

**Lemma 3.1** Let  $\{\aleph_\alpha\}$  be a Cauchy sequence in intuitionistic fuzzy bipolar metric space  $(\Omega, \Theta, \Gamma, Y, *, \circ)$  such that  $\aleph_\alpha \neq \aleph_\kappa$  whenever  $\kappa, \alpha \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $\alpha \neq \kappa$ . Then the sequence  $\{\aleph_\alpha\}$  can converge to, at most, one limit point.

**Proof.** Assume that  $\aleph_\alpha \rightarrow \aleph \in \Theta$  and  $\aleph_\alpha \rightarrow \Lambda \in \Omega \cap \Theta$ , for  $\aleph \neq \Lambda$ . Then,  $\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow +\infty} \Gamma(\aleph_\alpha, \aleph, \varphi) = 1, \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow +\infty} Y(\aleph_\alpha, \aleph, \varphi) = 0$  and  $\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow +\infty} \Gamma(\aleph_\alpha, \Lambda, \varphi) = 1, \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow +\infty} Y(\aleph_\alpha, \Lambda, \varphi) = 0$ , for all  $\varphi > 0$ . Suppose

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma(\aleph, \Lambda, \varphi) &\geq \Gamma(\aleph, \aleph_\alpha, \frac{\varphi}{3}) * \Gamma(\aleph_\alpha, \aleph_{\alpha+1}, \frac{\varphi}{3}) * \Gamma(\aleph_{\alpha+1}, \Lambda, \frac{\varphi}{3}) \\ &\rightarrow 1 * 1 * 1, \text{ as } \alpha \rightarrow +\infty, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} Y(\aleph, \Lambda, \varphi) &\leq Y(\aleph, \aleph_\alpha, \frac{\varphi}{3}) \circ Y(\aleph_\alpha, \aleph_{\alpha+1}, \frac{\varphi}{3}) \circ Y(\aleph_{\alpha+1}, \Lambda, \frac{\varphi}{3}) \\ &\rightarrow 0 \circ 0 \circ 0, \text{ as } \alpha \rightarrow +\infty. \end{aligned}$$

That is  $\Gamma(\aleph, \Lambda, \varphi) \geq 1 * 1 * 1 = 1, Y(\aleph, \Lambda, \varphi) \leq 0 \circ 0 \circ 0 = 0$  and  $\mathcal{B}(\aleph, \Lambda, \varphi) \leq 0 \circ 0 \circ 0 = 0$ . Hence  $\aleph = \Lambda$ .

**Lemma 3.2** Let  $(\Omega, \Theta, \Gamma, Y, *, \circ)$  is a intuitionistic fuzzy bipolar metric space. If for some  $0 < \theta < 1$  and for any  $\aleph, \Lambda \in \Omega, \varphi > 0$ ,

$$\Gamma(\aleph, \Lambda, \varphi) \geq \Gamma(\aleph, \Lambda, \frac{\varphi}{\theta}), Y(\aleph, \Lambda, \varphi) \leq Y(\aleph, \Lambda, \frac{\varphi}{\theta}), \tag{1}$$

then  $\aleph = \Lambda$ .

**Proof.** (1) implies that

$$\Gamma(\aleph, \Lambda, \varphi) \geq \Gamma(\aleph, \Lambda, \frac{\varphi}{\theta^\alpha}), Y(\aleph, \Lambda, \varphi) \leq Y(\aleph, \Lambda, \frac{\varphi}{\theta^\alpha}), \alpha \in \mathbb{N}, \varphi > 0.$$

Now

$$\Gamma(\aleph, \Lambda, \varphi) \geq \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow +\infty} \Gamma(\aleph, \Lambda, \frac{\varphi}{\theta^\alpha}) = 1,$$

$$Y(\aleph, \Lambda, \varphi) \leq \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow +\infty} Y(\aleph, \Lambda, \frac{\varphi}{\theta^\alpha}) = 0.$$

Also, by definition of 3.1 and 3.1 that is,  $\aleph = \Lambda$ .

**Theorem 3.1** Suppose  $(\Omega, \Theta, \Gamma, Y, *, \circ)$  is a complete intuitionistic fuzzy bipolar metric space with  $0 < \theta < 1$ . Let  $p: \Omega \cup \Theta \rightarrow \Omega \cup \Theta$  be a mapping satisfying:

1.  $p(\Omega) \subseteq \Omega$  and  $p(\Theta) \subseteq \Theta$ ;
2.  $\Gamma(p\aleph, p\Lambda, \theta\varphi) \geq \Gamma(\aleph, \Lambda, \varphi)$ , (2)

$$\text{and } Y(p\aleph, p\Lambda, \theta\varphi) \leq Y(\aleph, \Lambda, \varphi) \tag{3}$$

for all  $\aleph \in \Omega$ ,  $\Lambda \in \Theta$  and  $\varphi > 0$ .

Then  $p$  has a unique fixed point.

**Proof.** Let  $\aleph_0 \in \Omega$  and  $\Lambda_0 \in \Theta$  and assume that  $p(\aleph_\alpha) = \aleph_{\alpha+1}$  and  $p(\Lambda_\alpha) = \Lambda_{\alpha+1}$  for all  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ . Then we get  $(\aleph_\alpha, \Lambda_\alpha)$  as a bisequence on intuitionistic fuzzy bipolar metric space  $(\Omega, \Theta, \Gamma, Y, *, \circ)$ . Now, we have

$$\Gamma(\aleph_1, \Lambda_1, \varphi) = \Gamma(p\aleph_0, p\Lambda_0, \varphi) \geq \Gamma(\aleph_0, \Lambda_0, \frac{\varphi}{\theta}),$$

and

$$Y(\aleph_1, \Lambda_1, \varphi) = Y(p\aleph_0, p\Lambda_0, \varphi) \leq Y(\aleph_0, \Lambda_0, \frac{\varphi}{\theta}),$$

for all  $\varphi > 0$  and  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}$ . By simple induction, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma(\aleph_\alpha, \Lambda_\alpha, \varphi) &= \Gamma(p\aleph_{\alpha-1}, p\Lambda_{\alpha-1}, \varphi) \geq \Gamma(\aleph_{\alpha-1}, \Lambda_{\alpha-1}, \frac{\varphi}{\theta}) \geq \Gamma(\aleph_{\alpha-2}, \Lambda_{\alpha-2}, \frac{\varphi}{\theta^2}) \\ &\geq \Gamma(\aleph_{\alpha-3}, \Lambda_{\alpha-3}, \frac{\varphi}{\theta^3}) \geq \dots \geq \Gamma(\aleph_0, \Lambda_0, \frac{\varphi}{\theta^\alpha}), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} Y(\aleph_\alpha, \Lambda_\alpha, \varphi) &= Y(p\aleph_{\alpha-1}, p\Lambda_{\alpha-1}, \varphi) \leq Y(\aleph_{\alpha-1}, \Lambda_{\alpha-1}, \frac{\varphi}{\theta}) \leq Y(\aleph_{\alpha-2}, \Lambda_{\alpha-2}, \frac{\varphi}{\theta^2}) \\ &\leq Y(\aleph_{\alpha-3}, \Lambda_{\alpha-3}, \frac{\varphi}{\theta^3}) \leq \dots \leq Y(\aleph_0, \Lambda_0, \frac{\varphi}{\theta^\alpha}). \end{aligned}$$

We obtain

$$\Gamma(\aleph_\alpha, \Lambda_\alpha, \varphi) \geq \Gamma(\aleph_0, \Lambda_0, \frac{\varphi}{\theta^\alpha}), Y(\aleph_\alpha, \Lambda_\alpha, \varphi) \leq Y(\aleph_0, \Lambda_0, \frac{\varphi}{\theta^\alpha}) \tag{4}$$

and



$$\Gamma(\aleph_{\alpha+1}, \Lambda_\alpha, \varphi) \geq \Gamma(\aleph_1, \Lambda_0, \frac{\varphi}{3\theta^\alpha}), Y(\aleph_{\alpha+1}, \Lambda_\alpha, \varphi) \leq Y(\aleph_1, \Lambda_0, \frac{\varphi}{3\theta^\alpha}). \tag{5}$$

Letting  $\alpha < \kappa$ , for  $\alpha, \kappa \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma(\aleph_\alpha, \Lambda_\kappa, \varphi) &\geq \Gamma(\aleph_\alpha, \Lambda_\alpha, \frac{\varphi}{3}) * \Gamma(\aleph_{\alpha+1}, \Lambda_\alpha, \frac{\varphi}{3}) * \Gamma(\aleph_{\alpha+1}, \Lambda_\kappa, \frac{\varphi}{3}) \\ &\vdots \\ &\geq \Gamma(\aleph_\alpha, \Lambda_\alpha, \frac{\varphi}{3}) * \Gamma(\aleph_{\alpha+1}, \Lambda_\alpha, \frac{\varphi}{3}) * \dots * \Gamma(\aleph_{\kappa-1}, \Lambda_{\kappa-1}, \frac{\varphi}{3^{\kappa-1}}) * \Gamma(\aleph_\kappa, \Lambda_{\kappa-1}, \frac{\varphi}{3^{\kappa-1}}) \\ &\quad * \Gamma(\aleph_\kappa, \Lambda_\kappa, \frac{\varphi}{3^{\kappa-1}}), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} Y(\aleph_\alpha, \Lambda_\kappa, \varphi) &\leq Y(\aleph_\alpha, \Lambda_\alpha, \frac{\varphi}{3}) \circ Y(\aleph_{\alpha+1}, \Lambda_\alpha, \frac{\varphi}{3}) \circ Y(\aleph_{\alpha+1}, \Lambda_\kappa, \frac{\varphi}{3}) \\ &\vdots \\ &\leq Y(\aleph_\alpha, \Lambda_\alpha, \frac{\varphi}{3}) \circ Y(\aleph_{\alpha+1}, \Lambda_\alpha, \frac{\varphi}{3}) \circ \dots \circ Y(\aleph_{\kappa-1}, \Lambda_{\kappa-1}, \frac{\varphi}{3^{\kappa-1}}) \circ Y(\aleph_\kappa, \Lambda_{\kappa-1}, \frac{\varphi}{3^{\kappa-1}}) \\ &\quad \circ Y(\aleph_\kappa, \Lambda_\kappa, \frac{\varphi}{3^{\kappa-1}}). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma(\aleph_\alpha, \Lambda_\kappa, \varphi) &\geq \Gamma(\aleph_\alpha, \Lambda_\alpha, \frac{\varphi}{3}) * \Gamma(\aleph_{\alpha+1}, \Lambda_\alpha, \frac{\varphi}{3}) * \dots * \Gamma(\aleph_{\kappa-1}, \Lambda_{\kappa-1}, \frac{\varphi}{3^{\kappa-1}}) \\ &\quad * \Gamma(\aleph_\kappa, \Lambda_{\kappa-1}, \frac{\varphi}{3^{\kappa-1}}) * \Gamma(\aleph_\kappa, \Lambda_\kappa, \frac{\varphi}{3^{\kappa-1}}) \\ &\geq \Gamma(\aleph_0, \Lambda_0, \frac{\varphi}{3\theta^\alpha}) * \Gamma(\aleph_1, \Lambda_0, \frac{\varphi}{3\theta^\alpha}) * \dots * \Gamma(\aleph_0, \Lambda_0, \frac{\varphi}{3^{\kappa-1}\theta^{\kappa-1}}) \\ &\quad * \Gamma(\aleph_1, \Lambda_0, \frac{\varphi}{3^{\kappa-1}\theta^{\kappa-1}}) * \Gamma(\aleph_0, \Lambda_0, \frac{\varphi}{3^{\kappa-1}\theta^\kappa}), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} Y(\aleph_\alpha, \Lambda_\kappa, \varphi) &\leq Y(\aleph_\alpha, \Lambda_\alpha, \frac{\varphi}{3}) \circ Y(\aleph_{\alpha+1}, \Lambda_\alpha, \frac{\varphi}{3}) \circ \dots \circ Y(\aleph_{\kappa-1}, \Lambda_{\kappa-1}, \frac{\varphi}{3^{\kappa-1}}) \circ \\ &Y(\aleph_\kappa, \Lambda_{\kappa-1}, \frac{\varphi}{3^{\kappa-1}}) \circ Y(\aleph_\kappa, \Lambda_\kappa, \frac{\varphi}{3^{\kappa-1}}) \leq Y(\aleph_0, \Lambda_0, \frac{\varphi}{3\theta^\alpha}) \circ Y(\aleph_1, \Lambda_0, \frac{\varphi}{3\theta^\alpha}) \circ \dots \circ \\ &Y(\aleph_0, \Lambda_0, \frac{\varphi}{3^{\kappa-1}\theta^{\kappa-1}}) \circ Y(\aleph_1, \Lambda_0, \frac{\varphi}{3^{\kappa-1}\theta^{\kappa-1}}) \circ Y(\aleph_0, \Lambda_0, \frac{\varphi}{3^{\kappa-1}\theta^\kappa}). \end{aligned}$$

Which implies that,

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma(\aleph_\alpha, \Lambda_\kappa, \varphi) &\geq \Gamma(\aleph_0, \Lambda_0, \frac{\varphi}{3\theta^\alpha}) * \Gamma(\aleph_1, \Lambda_0, \frac{\varphi}{3\theta^\alpha}) * \dots * \Gamma(\aleph_0, \Lambda_0, \frac{\varphi}{3^{\kappa-1}\theta^{\kappa-1}}) \\ &\quad * \Gamma(\aleph_1, \Lambda_0, \frac{\varphi}{3^{\kappa-1}\theta^{\kappa-1}}) * \Gamma(\aleph_0, \Lambda_0, \frac{\varphi}{3^{\kappa-1}\theta^\kappa}), \\ Y(\aleph_\alpha, \Lambda_\kappa, \varphi) &\leq Y(\aleph_0, \Lambda_0, \frac{\varphi}{3\theta^\alpha}) \circ Y(\aleph_1, \Lambda_0, \frac{\varphi}{3\theta^\alpha}) \circ \dots \circ Y(\aleph_0, \Lambda_0, \frac{\varphi}{3^{\kappa-1}\theta^{\kappa-1}}) \\ &\quad \circ Y(\aleph_1, \Lambda_0, \frac{\varphi}{3^{\kappa-1}\theta^{\kappa-1}}) \circ Y(\aleph_0, \Lambda_0, \frac{\varphi}{3^{\kappa-1}\theta^\kappa}). \end{aligned}$$

As  $\alpha, \kappa \rightarrow +\infty$ , we deduce

$$\lim_{\alpha, \kappa \rightarrow +\infty} \Gamma(\aleph_\alpha, \Lambda_\kappa, \varphi) = 1 * 1 * \dots * 1 = 1,$$

$$\text{and } \lim_{\alpha, \kappa \rightarrow +\infty} Y(\aleph_\alpha, \Lambda_\kappa, \varphi) = 0 \circ 0 \circ \dots \circ 0 = 0.$$

Which implies that bisequence  $(\aleph_\alpha, \Lambda_\alpha)$  is a Cauchy bisequence. Since  $(\Omega, \Theta, \Gamma, Y, *, \circ)$  is a complete intuitionistic fuzzy bipolar metric space. Then,  $\{\aleph_\alpha\} \rightarrow \aleph$  and  $\{\Lambda_\alpha\} \rightarrow \aleph$ , where  $\aleph \in \Omega \cap \Theta$ . Using 3.1 and 3.1, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma(\aleph, p\aleph, \varphi) &\geq \Gamma(\aleph, \aleph_{\alpha+1}, \frac{\varphi}{3}) * \Gamma(\aleph_{\alpha+1}, \aleph_{\alpha+1}, \frac{\varphi}{3}) * \Gamma(\aleph_{\alpha+1}, p\aleph, \frac{\varphi}{3}) \\ &= \Gamma(\aleph, \aleph_{\alpha+1}, \frac{\varphi}{3}) * \Gamma(p\aleph_\alpha, p\aleph_\alpha, \frac{\varphi}{3}) * \Gamma(p\aleph_\alpha, p\aleph, \frac{\varphi}{3}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\rightarrow 1 * 1 * 1 = 1 \quad \text{as } \alpha \rightarrow +\infty,$$

$$\begin{aligned} Y(\aleph, p\aleph, \varphi) &\leq Y(\aleph, \aleph_{\alpha+1}, \frac{\varphi}{3}) \circ Y(\aleph_{\alpha+1}, \aleph_{\alpha+1}, \frac{\varphi}{3}) \circ Y(\aleph_{\alpha+1}, p\aleph, \frac{\varphi}{3}) \\ &= Y(\aleph, \aleph_{\alpha+1}, \frac{\varphi}{3}) \circ Y(p\aleph_{\alpha+1}, p\aleph_{\alpha+1}, \frac{\varphi}{3}) \circ Y(p\aleph_{\alpha+1}, p\aleph, \frac{\varphi}{3}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\rightarrow 0 \circ 0 \circ 0 = 0 \quad \text{as } \alpha \rightarrow +\infty.$$

Hence,  $p\aleph = \aleph$ .

Now, we examine the uniqueness. Let  $p\omega = \omega$  for some  $\omega \in \Omega \cap \Theta$ , then

$$1 \geq \Gamma(\omega, \aleph, \varphi) = \Gamma(p\omega, p\aleph, \varphi) \geq \Gamma(\omega, \aleph, \frac{\varphi}{\theta}) = \Gamma(p\omega, p\aleph, \frac{\varphi}{\theta})$$

$$\geq \Gamma(\omega, \aleph, \frac{\varphi}{\theta^2}) \geq \dots \geq \Gamma(\omega, \aleph, \frac{\varphi}{\theta^\alpha}) \rightarrow 1 \quad \text{as } \alpha \rightarrow +\infty,$$

$$0 \leq Y(\omega, \aleph, \varphi) = Y(p\omega, p\aleph, \varphi) \leq Y(\omega, \aleph, \frac{\varphi}{\theta}) = Y(p\omega, p\aleph, \frac{\varphi}{\theta})$$

$$\leq Y(\omega, \aleph, \frac{\varphi}{\theta^2}) \leq \dots \leq Y(\omega, \aleph, \frac{\varphi}{\theta^\alpha}) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } \alpha \rightarrow +\infty,$$

by using 3.1 and 3.1,  $\aleph = \omega$ .

**Theorem 3.2** Suppose  $(\Omega, \Theta, \Gamma, Y, *, \circ)$  is a complete intuitionistic fuzzy bipolar metric space with  $0 < \theta < 1$ . Let  $p: \Omega \cup \Theta \rightarrow \Omega \cup \Theta$  be a mapping satisfying:

1.  $p(\Omega) \subseteq \Theta$  and  $p(\Theta) \subseteq \Omega$ ;

2.  $\Gamma(p\aleph, p\Lambda, \theta\varphi) \geq \Gamma(\Lambda, \aleph, \varphi)$ ,

$$\text{and } Y(p\aleph, p\Lambda, \theta\varphi) \leq Y(\Lambda, \aleph, \varphi), \tag{6}$$

for all  $\aleph \in \Omega$ ,  $\Lambda \in \Theta$  and  $\varphi > 0$ .

Then  $p$  has a unique fixed point.

**Proof.** Let  $\aleph_0 \in \Omega$  and  $\Lambda_0 \in \Theta$  and assume that  $p(\aleph_\alpha) = \Lambda_\alpha$  and  $p(\Lambda_\alpha) = \aleph_{\alpha+1}$  for all  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ . Then we get  $(\aleph_\alpha, \theta_\alpha)$  as a bisequence on intuitionistic fuzzy bipolar metric space  $(\Omega, \Theta, \Gamma, \Upsilon, *, \circ)$ . Now, we have

$$\Gamma(\aleph_1, \Lambda_0, \varphi) = \Gamma(p\Lambda_0, p\aleph_0, \varphi) \geq \Gamma(\aleph_0, \Lambda_0, \frac{\varphi}{\theta}),$$

and

$$\Upsilon(\aleph_1, \Lambda_0, \varphi) = \Upsilon(p\Lambda_0, p\aleph_0, \varphi) \leq \Upsilon(\aleph_0, \Lambda_0, \frac{\varphi}{\theta}),$$

for all  $\varphi > 0$  and  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}$ . By simple induction, we get

$$\Gamma(\aleph_\alpha, \Lambda_\alpha, \varphi) = \Gamma(p\Lambda_{\alpha-1}, p\aleph_\alpha, \varphi) \geq \Gamma(\aleph_0, \Lambda_0, \frac{\varphi}{\theta^{2\alpha}}),$$

$$\Upsilon(\aleph_\alpha, \Lambda_\alpha, \varphi) = \Upsilon(p\Lambda_{\alpha-1}, p\aleph_\alpha, \varphi) \leq \Upsilon(\aleph_0, \Lambda_0, \frac{\varphi}{\theta^{2\alpha}}),$$

and

$$\Gamma(\aleph_{\alpha+1}, \Lambda_\alpha, \varphi) = \Gamma(p\Lambda_\alpha, p\aleph_\alpha, \varphi) \geq \Gamma(\aleph_0, \Lambda_0, \frac{\varphi}{\theta^{2\alpha+1}}),$$

$$\Upsilon(\aleph_{\alpha+1}, \Lambda_\alpha, \varphi) = \Upsilon(p\Lambda_\alpha, p\aleph_\alpha, \varphi) \leq \Upsilon(\aleph_0, \Lambda_0, \frac{\varphi}{\theta^{2\alpha+1}}).$$

Letting  $\alpha < \kappa$ , for  $\alpha, \kappa \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma(\aleph_\alpha, \Lambda_\kappa, \varphi) &\geq \Gamma(\aleph_\alpha, \Lambda_\alpha, \frac{\varphi}{3}) * \Gamma(\aleph_{\alpha+1}, \Lambda_\alpha, \frac{\varphi}{3}) * \Gamma(\aleph_{\alpha+1}, \Lambda_\kappa, \frac{\varphi}{3}) \\ &\vdots \\ &\geq \Gamma(\aleph_\alpha, \Lambda_\alpha, \frac{\varphi}{3}) * \Gamma(\aleph_{\alpha+1}, \Lambda_\alpha, \frac{\varphi}{3}) * \dots * \Gamma(\aleph_{\kappa-1}, \Lambda_{\kappa-1}, \frac{\varphi}{3^{\kappa-1}}) * \Gamma(\aleph_\kappa, \Lambda_{\kappa-1}, \frac{\varphi}{3^{\kappa-1}}) \\ &\quad * \Gamma(\aleph_\kappa, \Lambda_\kappa, \frac{\varphi}{3^{\kappa-1}}), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \Upsilon(\aleph_\alpha, \Lambda_\kappa, \varphi) &\leq \Upsilon(\aleph_\alpha, \Lambda_\alpha, \frac{\varphi}{3}) \circ \Upsilon(\aleph_{\alpha+1}, \Lambda_\alpha, \frac{\varphi}{3}) \circ \Upsilon(\aleph_{\alpha+1}, \Lambda_\kappa, \frac{\varphi}{3}) \\ &\vdots \\ &\leq \Upsilon(\aleph_\alpha, \Lambda_\alpha, \frac{\varphi}{3}) \circ \Upsilon(\aleph_{\alpha+1}, \Lambda_\alpha, \frac{\varphi}{3}) \circ \dots \circ \Upsilon(\aleph_{\kappa-1}, \Lambda_{\kappa-1}, \frac{\varphi}{3^{\kappa-1}}) \circ \Upsilon(\aleph_\kappa, \Lambda_{\kappa-1}, \frac{\varphi}{3^{\kappa-1}}) \\ &\quad \circ \Upsilon(\aleph_\kappa, \Lambda_\kappa, \frac{\varphi}{3^{\kappa-1}}). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

Solving an integral equation via intuitionistic fuzzy bipolar metric spaces

$$\begin{aligned}
\Gamma(\aleph_\alpha, \Lambda_\kappa, \varphi) &\geq \Gamma(\aleph_\alpha, \Lambda_\alpha, \frac{\varphi}{3}) * \Gamma(\aleph_{\alpha+1}, \Lambda_\alpha, \frac{\varphi}{3}) * \cdots * \Gamma(\aleph_{\kappa-1}, \Lambda_{\kappa-1}, \frac{\varphi}{3^{\kappa-1}}) \\
&\quad * \Gamma(\aleph_\kappa, \Lambda_{\kappa-1}, \frac{\varphi}{3^{\kappa-1}}) * \Gamma(\aleph_\kappa, \Lambda_\kappa, \frac{\varphi}{3^{\kappa-1}}) \\
&\geq \Gamma(\aleph_0, \Lambda_0, \frac{\varphi}{3\theta^{2\alpha}}) * \Gamma(\aleph_0, \Lambda_0, \frac{\varphi}{3\theta^{2\alpha+1}}) * \cdots * \Gamma(\aleph_0, \Lambda_0, \frac{\varphi}{3^{\kappa-1}\theta^{2\kappa-2}}) \\
&\quad * \Gamma(\aleph_0, \Lambda_0, \frac{\varphi}{3^{\kappa-1}\theta^{2\kappa-1}}) * \Gamma(\aleph_0, \Lambda_0, \frac{\varphi}{3^{\kappa-1}\theta^{2\kappa}}), Y(\aleph_\alpha, \Lambda_\kappa, \varphi) \\
&\leq Y(\aleph_\alpha, \Lambda_\alpha, \frac{\varphi}{3}) \circ Y(\aleph_{\alpha+1}, \Lambda_\alpha, \frac{\varphi}{3}) \circ \cdots \circ Y(\aleph_{\kappa-1}, \Lambda_{\kappa-1}, \frac{\varphi}{3^{\kappa-1}}) \\
&\quad \circ Y(\aleph_\kappa, \Lambda_{\kappa-1}, \frac{\varphi}{3^{\kappa-1}}) \circ Y(\aleph_\kappa, \Lambda_\kappa, \frac{\varphi}{3^{\kappa-1}}) \\
&\leq Y(\aleph_0, \Lambda_0, \frac{\varphi}{3\theta^{2\alpha}}) \circ Y(\aleph_0, \Lambda_0, \frac{\varphi}{3\theta^{2\alpha+1}}) \circ \cdots \circ Y(\aleph_0, \Lambda_0, \frac{\varphi}{3^{\kappa-1}\theta^{2\kappa-2}}) \\
&\quad \circ Y(\aleph_0, \Lambda_0, \frac{\varphi}{3^{\kappa-1}\theta^{2\kappa-1}}) \circ Y(\aleph_0, \Lambda_0, \frac{\varphi}{3^{\kappa-1}\theta^{2\kappa}}).
\end{aligned}$$

Which implies that,

$$\begin{aligned}
\Gamma(\aleph_\alpha, \Lambda_\kappa, \varphi) &\geq \Gamma(\aleph_0, \Lambda_0, \frac{\varphi}{3\theta^{2\alpha}}) * \Gamma(\aleph_0, \Lambda_0, \frac{\varphi}{3\theta^{2\alpha+1}}) * \cdots * \Gamma(\aleph_0, \Lambda_0, \frac{\varphi}{3^{\kappa-1}\theta^{2\kappa-2}}) \\
&\quad * \Gamma(\aleph_0, \Lambda_0, \frac{\varphi}{3^{\kappa-1}\theta^{2\kappa-1}}) * \Gamma(\aleph_0, \Lambda_0, \frac{\varphi}{3^{\kappa-1}\theta^{2\kappa}})
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
Y(\aleph_\alpha, \Lambda_\kappa, \varphi) &\leq Y(\aleph_0, \Lambda_0, \frac{\varphi}{3\theta^{2\alpha}}) \circ Y(\aleph_0, \Lambda_0, \frac{\varphi}{3\theta^{2\alpha+1}}) \circ \cdots \circ Y(\aleph_0, \Lambda_0, \frac{\varphi}{3^{\kappa-1}\theta^{2\kappa-2}}) \\
&\quad \circ Y(\aleph_0, \Lambda_0, \frac{\varphi}{3^{\kappa-1}\theta^{2\kappa-1}}) \circ Y(\aleph_0, \Lambda_0, \frac{\varphi}{3^{\kappa-1}\theta^{2\kappa}}).
\end{aligned}$$

As  $\alpha, \kappa \rightarrow +\infty$ , we deduce

$$\lim_{\alpha, \kappa \rightarrow +\infty} \Gamma(\aleph_\alpha, \Lambda_\kappa, \varphi) = 1 * 1 * \cdots * 1 = 1$$

and

$$\lim_{\alpha, \kappa \rightarrow +\infty} Y(\aleph_\alpha, \Lambda_\kappa, \varphi) = 0 \circ 0 \circ \cdots \circ 0 = 0.$$

Which implies that bisequence  $(\aleph_\alpha, \Lambda_\alpha)$  is a Cauchy bisequence. Since  $(\Omega, \theta, \Gamma, Y, *, \circ)$  is a complete intuitionistic fuzzy bipolar metric space. Then,  $\{\aleph_\alpha\} \rightarrow \aleph$  and  $\{\Lambda_\alpha\} \rightarrow \aleph$ , where  $\aleph \in \Omega \cap \theta$ . Using 3.1 and 3.1, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\Gamma(\aleph, p\aleph, \varphi) &\geq \Gamma(\aleph, \aleph_{\alpha+1}, \frac{\varphi}{3}) * \Gamma(\aleph_{\alpha+1}, \aleph_{\alpha+1}, \frac{\varphi}{3}) * \Gamma(\aleph_{\alpha+1}, p\aleph, \frac{\varphi}{3}) \\
&= \Gamma(\aleph, \aleph_{\alpha+1}, \frac{\varphi}{3}) * \Gamma(p\aleph_\alpha, p\aleph_\alpha, \frac{\varphi}{3}) * \Gamma(p\aleph_\alpha, p\aleph, \frac{\varphi}{3}) \\
&\rightarrow 1 * 1 * 1 = 1 \quad \text{as } \alpha \rightarrow +\infty,
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
Y(\aleph, p\aleph, \varphi) &\leq Y(\aleph, \aleph_{\alpha+1}, \frac{\varphi}{3}) \circ Y(\aleph_{\alpha+1}, \aleph_{\alpha+1}, \frac{\varphi}{3}) \circ Y(\aleph_{\alpha+1}, p\aleph, \frac{\varphi}{3}) \\
&= Y(\aleph, \aleph_{\alpha+1}, \frac{\varphi}{3}) \circ Y(p\aleph_{\alpha+1}, p\aleph_{\alpha+1}, \frac{\varphi}{3}) \circ Y(p\aleph_{\alpha+1}, p\aleph, \frac{\varphi}{3})
\end{aligned}$$

$$\rightarrow 0 \circ 0 \circ 0 = 0 \quad \text{as } \alpha \rightarrow +\infty.$$

Hence,  $p\aleph = \aleph$ .

Let  $p\omega = \omega$  for some  $\omega \in \Omega \cap \Theta$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} 1 \geq \Gamma(\omega, \aleph, \varphi) &= \Gamma(p\aleph, p\omega, \varphi) \geq \Gamma(\omega, \aleph, \frac{\varphi}{\theta}) = \Gamma(p\aleph, p\omega, \frac{\varphi}{\theta}) \geq \Gamma(\omega, \aleph, \frac{\varphi}{\theta^2}) \geq \dots \\ &\geq \Gamma(\omega, \aleph, \frac{\varphi}{\theta^\alpha}) \rightarrow 1 \quad \text{as } \alpha \rightarrow +\infty, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \leq Y(\omega, \aleph, \varphi) &= Y(p\aleph, p\omega, \varphi) \leq Y(\omega, \aleph, \frac{\varphi}{\theta}) = Y(p\aleph, p\omega, \frac{\varphi}{\theta}) \leq Y(\omega, \aleph, \frac{\varphi}{\theta^2}) \leq \dots \\ &\leq Y(\omega, \aleph, \frac{\varphi}{\theta^\alpha}) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } \alpha \rightarrow +\infty, \end{aligned}$$

by using 3.1 and 3.1, we get  $\aleph = \omega$ .

**Definition 3.4** Let  $(\Omega, \Theta, \Gamma, Y, *, \circ)$  be a intuitionistic fuzzy bipolar metric space. A map  $p: \Omega \cup \Theta \rightarrow \Omega \cup \Theta$  is an IFB(intuitionistic fuzzy bipolar)-contraction if we can find  $0 < \theta < 1$  satisfying

$$\frac{1}{\Gamma(p\aleph, p\Lambda, \varphi)} - 1 \leq \theta \left[ \frac{1}{\Gamma(\aleph, \Lambda, \varphi)} - 1 \right] \tag{7}$$

and

$$Y(p\aleph, p\Lambda, \varphi) \leq \theta Y(\aleph, \Lambda, \varphi), \tag{8}$$

for all  $\aleph \in \Omega$ ,  $\Lambda \in \Theta$  and  $\varphi > 0$ .

**Theorem 3.3** Let  $(\Omega, \Theta, \Gamma, Y, *, \circ)$  be a complete intuitionistic fuzzy bipolar metric space. Let  $p: \Omega \cup \Theta \rightarrow \Omega \cup \Theta$  be a mapping satisfyig:

1.  $p(\Omega) \subseteq \Omega$  and  $p(\Theta) \subseteq \Theta$ ;
  2.  $p$  is IFB-contraction, for all  $\aleph \in \Omega$ ,  $\Lambda \in \Theta$  and  $\varphi > 0$ .
- Then,  $p$  has a unique fixed point.

**Proof.** Let  $\aleph_0 \in \Omega$  and  $\Lambda_0 \in \Theta$  and assume that  $p(\aleph_\alpha) = \aleph_{\alpha+1}$  and  $p(\Lambda_\alpha) = \Lambda_{\alpha+1}$  for all  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ . Then we get  $(\aleph_\alpha, \Lambda_\alpha)$  as a bisequence on intuitionistic fuzzy bipolar metric space  $(\Omega, \Theta, \Gamma, Y, *, \circ)$ . By using (7) and (8) for all  $\varphi > 0$ , we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\Gamma(\aleph_\alpha, \Lambda_\alpha, \varphi)} - 1 &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(p\aleph_{\alpha-1}, p\Lambda_{\alpha-1}, \varphi)} - 1 \leq \theta \left[ \frac{1}{\Gamma(\aleph_{\alpha-1}, \Lambda_{\alpha-1}, \varphi)} \right] \\ &= \frac{\theta}{\Gamma(\aleph_{\alpha-1}, \Lambda_{\alpha-1}, \varphi)} - \theta \\ \Rightarrow \frac{1}{\Gamma(\aleph_\alpha, \Lambda_\alpha, \varphi)} &\leq \frac{\theta}{\Gamma(\aleph_{\alpha-1}, \Lambda_{\alpha-1}, \varphi)} + (1 - \theta) \leq \frac{\theta^2}{\Gamma(\aleph_{\alpha-2}, \Lambda_{\alpha-2}, \varphi)} + \theta(1 - \theta) + (1 - \theta) \end{aligned}$$

Continuing this way, we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\Gamma(\aleph_\alpha, \Lambda_\alpha, \varphi)} &\leq \frac{\theta^\alpha}{\Gamma(\aleph_0, \Lambda_0, \varphi)} + \theta^{\alpha-1}(1-\theta) + \theta^{\alpha-2}(1-\theta) + \dots + \theta(1-\theta) + (1-\theta) \\ &\leq \frac{\theta^\alpha}{\Gamma(\aleph_0, \Lambda_0, \varphi)} + (\theta^{\alpha-1} + \theta^{\alpha-2} + \dots + 1)(1-\theta) \\ &\leq \frac{\theta^\alpha}{\Gamma(\aleph_0, \Lambda_0, \varphi)} + (1-\theta^\alpha). \end{aligned}$$

We obtain

$$\frac{1}{\frac{\theta^\alpha}{\Gamma(\aleph_0, \Lambda_0, \varphi)} + (1-\theta^\alpha)} \leq \Gamma(\aleph_\alpha, \Lambda_\alpha, \varphi) \quad (9)$$

$$\begin{aligned} Y(\aleph_\alpha, \Lambda_\alpha, \varphi) &= Y(p\aleph_{\alpha-1}, p\Lambda_{\alpha-1}, \varphi) \leq \theta Y(\aleph_{\alpha-1}, \Lambda_{\alpha-1}, \varphi) = Y(p\aleph_{\alpha-2}, p\Lambda_{\alpha-2}, \varphi) \\ &\leq \theta^2 Y(\aleph_{\alpha-2}, \Lambda_{\alpha-2}, \varphi) \leq \dots \leq \theta^\alpha Y(\aleph_0, \Lambda_0, \varphi), \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

and

$$\frac{1}{\frac{\theta^\alpha}{\Gamma(\aleph_1, \Lambda_0, \varphi)} + (1-\theta^\alpha)} \leq \Gamma(\aleph_{\alpha+1}, \Lambda_\alpha, \varphi) \quad (11)$$

$$\begin{aligned} Y(\aleph_{\alpha+1}, \Lambda_\alpha, \varphi) &= Y(p\aleph_\alpha, p\Lambda_{\alpha-1}, \varphi) \leq \theta Y(\aleph_\alpha, \Lambda_{\alpha-1}, \varphi) = Y(p\aleph_{\alpha-1}, p\Lambda_{\alpha-2}, \varphi) \\ &\leq \theta^2 Y(\aleph_{\alpha-1}, \Lambda_{\alpha-2}, \varphi) \leq \dots \leq \theta^\alpha Y(\aleph_1, \Lambda_0, \varphi). \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

Let  $\alpha < \kappa$ , for  $\alpha, \kappa \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma(\aleph_\alpha, \Lambda_\kappa, \varphi) &\geq \Gamma(\aleph_\alpha, \Lambda_\alpha, \frac{\varphi}{3}) * \Gamma(\aleph_{\alpha+1}, \Lambda_\alpha, \frac{\varphi}{3}) * \Gamma(\aleph_{\alpha+1}, \Lambda_\kappa, \frac{\varphi}{3}) \\ &\vdots \\ &\geq \Gamma(\aleph_\alpha, \Lambda_\alpha, \frac{\varphi}{3}) * \Gamma(\aleph_{\alpha+1}, \Lambda_\alpha, \frac{\varphi}{3}) * \dots * \Gamma(\aleph_{\kappa-1}, \Lambda_{\kappa-1}, \frac{\varphi}{3^{\kappa-1}}) * \Gamma(\aleph_\kappa, \Lambda_{\kappa-1}, \frac{\varphi}{3^{\kappa-1}}) \\ &\quad * \Gamma(\aleph_\kappa, \Lambda_\kappa, \frac{\varphi}{3^{\kappa-1}}), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} Y(\aleph_\alpha, \Lambda_\kappa, \varphi) &\leq Y(\aleph_\alpha, \Lambda_\alpha, \frac{\varphi}{3}) \circ Y(\aleph_{\alpha+1}, \Lambda_\alpha, \frac{\varphi}{3}) \circ Y(\aleph_{\alpha+1}, \Lambda_\kappa, \frac{\varphi}{3}) \\ &\vdots \\ &\leq Y(\aleph_\alpha, \Lambda_\alpha, \frac{\varphi}{3}) \circ Y(\aleph_{\alpha+1}, \Lambda_\alpha, \frac{\varphi}{3}) \circ \dots \circ Y(\aleph_{\kappa-1}, \Lambda_{\kappa-1}, \frac{\varphi}{3^{\kappa-1}}) \circ Y(\aleph_\kappa, \Lambda_{\kappa-1}, \frac{\varphi}{3^{\kappa-1}}) \\ &\quad \circ Y(\aleph_\kappa, \Lambda_\kappa, \frac{\varphi}{3^{\kappa-1}}). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma(\aleph_\alpha, \Lambda_\kappa, \varphi) &\geq \Gamma(\aleph_\alpha, \Lambda_\alpha, \frac{\varphi}{3}) * \Gamma(\aleph_{\alpha+1}, \Lambda_\alpha, \frac{\varphi}{3}) * \dots * \Gamma(\aleph_{\kappa-1}, \Lambda_{\kappa-1}, \frac{\varphi}{3^{\kappa-1}}) \\ &\quad * \Gamma(\aleph_\kappa, \Lambda_{\kappa-1}, \frac{\varphi}{3^{\kappa-1}}) * \Gamma(\aleph_\kappa, \Lambda_\kappa, \frac{\varphi}{3^{\kappa-1}}) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{\frac{\theta^\alpha}{\Gamma(\aleph_0, \Lambda_0, \frac{\varphi}{3}) + (1 - \theta^\alpha)} + (1 - \theta^\alpha)} * \frac{1}{\frac{\theta^\alpha}{\Gamma(\aleph_1, \Lambda_0, \frac{\varphi}{3}) + (1 - \theta^\alpha)} + (1 - \theta^\alpha)} * \dots \\ &\quad * \frac{1}{\frac{\theta^{\kappa-1}}{\Gamma(\aleph_0, \Lambda_0, \frac{\varphi}{3^{\kappa-1}})} + (1 - \theta^{\kappa-1})} * \frac{1}{\frac{\theta^{\kappa-1}}{\Gamma(\aleph_1, \Lambda_0, \frac{\varphi}{3^{\kappa-1}})} + (1 - \theta^{\kappa-1})} \\ &\quad * \frac{1}{\frac{\theta^\kappa}{\Gamma(\aleph_0, \Lambda_0, \frac{\varphi}{3^{\kappa-1}})} + (1 - \theta^\kappa)}, \end{aligned}$$

And

$$\begin{aligned} Y(\aleph_\alpha, \Lambda_\kappa, \varphi) &\leq Y(\aleph_\alpha, \Lambda_\alpha, \frac{\varphi}{3}) \circ Y(\aleph_{\alpha+1}, \Lambda_\alpha, \frac{\varphi}{3}) \circ \dots \circ Y(\aleph_{\kappa-1}, \Lambda_{\kappa-1}, \frac{\varphi}{3^{\kappa-1}}) \\ &\quad \circ Y(\aleph_\kappa, \Lambda_{\kappa-1}, \frac{\varphi}{3^{\kappa-1}}) \circ Y(\aleph_\kappa, \Lambda_\kappa, \frac{\varphi}{3^{\kappa-1}}) \\ &\leq \theta^\alpha Y(\aleph_0, \Lambda_0, \frac{\varphi}{3}) \circ \theta^\alpha Y(\aleph_1, \Lambda_0, \frac{\varphi}{3^{\kappa-1}}) \circ \dots \circ \theta^{\kappa-1} Y(\aleph_0, \Lambda_0, \frac{\varphi}{3^{\kappa-1}}) \\ &\quad \circ \theta^{\kappa-1} Y(\aleph_1, \Lambda_0, \frac{\varphi}{3^{\kappa-1}}) \circ \theta^\kappa Y(\aleph_0, \Lambda_0, \frac{\varphi}{3^{\kappa-1}}). \end{aligned}$$

Which implies that,

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma(\aleph_\alpha, \Lambda_\kappa, \varphi) &\geq \frac{1}{\frac{\theta^\alpha}{\Gamma(\aleph_0, \Lambda_0, \frac{\varphi}{3}) + (1 - \theta^\alpha)} + (1 - \theta^\alpha)} * \frac{1}{\frac{\theta^\alpha}{\Gamma(\aleph_1, \Lambda_0, \frac{\varphi}{3}) + (1 - \theta^\alpha)} + (1 - \theta^\alpha)} * \dots \\ &\quad * \frac{1}{\frac{\theta^{\kappa-1}}{\Gamma(\aleph_0, \Lambda_0, \frac{\varphi}{3^{\kappa-1}})} + (1 - \theta^{\kappa-1})} * \frac{1}{\frac{\theta^{\kappa-1}}{\Gamma(\aleph_1, \Lambda_0, \frac{\varphi}{3^{\kappa-1}})} + (1 - \theta^{\kappa-1})} \\ &\quad * \frac{1}{\frac{\theta^\kappa}{\Gamma(\aleph_0, \Lambda_0, \frac{\varphi}{3^{\kappa-1}})} + (1 - \theta^\kappa)}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} Y(\aleph_\alpha, \Lambda_\kappa, \varphi) &\leq \theta^\alpha Y(\aleph_0, \Lambda_0, \frac{\varphi}{3}) \circ \theta^\alpha Y(\aleph_1, \Lambda_0, \frac{\varphi}{3^{\kappa-1}}) \circ \dots \circ \theta^{\kappa-1} Y(\aleph_0, \Lambda_0, \frac{\varphi}{3^{\kappa-1}}) \\ &\quad \circ \theta^{\kappa-1} Y(\aleph_1, \Lambda_0, \frac{\varphi}{3^{\kappa-1}}) \circ \theta^\kappa Y(\aleph_0, \Lambda_0, \frac{\varphi}{3^{\kappa-1}}). \end{aligned}$$

As  $\alpha, \kappa \rightarrow +\infty$ , we deduce

$$\lim_{\alpha, \kappa \rightarrow +\infty} \Gamma(\aleph_\alpha, \Lambda_\kappa, \varphi) = 1 * 1 * \dots * 1 = 1,$$

and

$$\lim_{\alpha, \kappa \rightarrow +\infty} Y(\aleph_\alpha, \Lambda_\kappa, \varphi) = 0 \circ 0 \circ \dots \circ 0 = 0.$$

Solving an integral equation via intuitionistic fuzzy bipolar metric spaces

Which implies that bisequence  $(\aleph_\alpha, \Lambda_\alpha)$  is a Cauchy bisequence. Since  $(\Omega, \theta, \Gamma, \Upsilon, *, \circ)$  is a complete intuitionistic fuzzy bipolar metric space. Then,  $\{\aleph_\alpha\} \rightarrow \aleph$  and  $\{\Lambda_\alpha\} \rightarrow \aleph$ , where  $\aleph \in \Omega \cap \theta$ . Using 3.1 and 3.1, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma(\aleph, p\aleph, \varphi) &\geq \Gamma\left(\aleph, \aleph_{\alpha+1}, \frac{\varphi}{3}\right) * \Gamma\left(\aleph_{\alpha+1}, \aleph_{\alpha+1}, \frac{\varphi}{3}\right) * \Gamma\left(\aleph_{\alpha+1}, p\aleph, \frac{\varphi}{3}\right) \\ &= \Gamma\left(\aleph, \aleph_{\alpha+1}, \frac{\varphi}{3}\right) * \Gamma\left(p\aleph_\alpha, p\aleph_\alpha, \frac{\varphi}{3}\right) * \Gamma\left(p\aleph_\alpha, p\aleph, \frac{\varphi}{3}\right) \\ &\geq \Gamma\left(\aleph, \aleph_{\alpha+1}, \frac{\varphi}{3}\right) * \frac{1}{\frac{\theta^{\alpha+1}}{\Gamma\left(\aleph_0, \Lambda_0, \frac{\varphi}{3}\right)} + (1 - \theta^{\alpha+1})} * \Gamma\left(p\aleph_\alpha, p\aleph, \frac{\varphi}{3}\right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\rightarrow 1 * 1 * 1 = 1 \quad \text{as } \alpha \rightarrow +\infty,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \Upsilon(\aleph, p\aleph, \varphi) &\leq \Upsilon\left(\aleph, \aleph_{\alpha+1}, \frac{\varphi}{3}\right) \circ \Upsilon\left(\aleph_{\alpha+1}, \aleph_{\alpha+1}, \frac{\varphi}{3}\right) \circ \Upsilon\left(\aleph_{\alpha+1}, p\aleph, \frac{\varphi}{3}\right) \\ &= \Upsilon\left(\aleph, \aleph_{\alpha+1}, \frac{\varphi}{3}\right) \circ \Upsilon\left(p\aleph_{\alpha+1}, p\aleph_{\alpha+1}, \frac{\varphi}{3}\right) \circ \Upsilon\left(p\aleph_{\alpha+1}, p\aleph, \frac{\varphi}{3}\right) \\ &\leq \Upsilon\left(\aleph, \aleph_{\alpha+1}, \frac{\varphi}{3}\right) \circ \theta^{\alpha+1} \Upsilon\left(\aleph_0, \Lambda_0, \frac{\varphi}{3}\right) \circ \Upsilon\left(p\aleph_{\alpha+1}, p\aleph, \frac{\varphi}{3}\right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\rightarrow 0 \circ 0 \circ 0 = 0 \quad \text{as } \alpha \rightarrow +\infty.$$

Hence,  $p\aleph = \aleph$ . Let  $p\omega = \omega$  for some  $\omega \in \Omega$ , then

$$\frac{1}{\Gamma(\aleph, \omega, \varphi)} - 1 = \frac{1}{\Gamma(p\aleph, p\omega, \varphi)} - 1 \leq \theta \left[ \frac{1}{\Gamma(\aleph, \omega, \varphi)} - 1 \right] < \frac{1}{\Gamma(\aleph, \omega, \varphi)} - 1,$$

which is a contradiction.

$$\Upsilon(\aleph, \omega, \varphi) = \Upsilon(p\aleph, p\omega, \varphi) \leq \theta \Upsilon(\aleph, \omega, \varphi) < \Upsilon(\aleph, \omega, \varphi),$$

which is a contradiction as well. Therefore,  $\Gamma(\aleph, \omega, \varphi) = 1, \Upsilon(\aleph, \omega, \varphi) = 0$ , hence,  $\aleph = \omega$ .

**Example 3.2** Let  $\Omega = [0,1]$  and  $\theta = \{0\} \cup \mathbb{N} - \{1\}$ . Define  $\Gamma, \Upsilon, : \Omega \times \theta \times (0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0,1]$  as

$$\Gamma(\aleph, \Lambda, \varphi) = \frac{\varphi}{\varphi + |\aleph - \Lambda|}, \Upsilon(\aleph, \Lambda, \varphi) = \frac{|\aleph - \Lambda|}{\varphi + |\aleph - \Lambda|}.$$

Then,  $(\Omega, \theta, \Gamma, \Upsilon, *, \circ)$  is a complete intuitionistic fuzzy bipolar metric space with CTN  $\nu * \xi = \nu\xi$  and CTCN  $\nu \circ \xi = \max\{\nu, \xi\}$ .

Define  $p: (\Omega, \theta, \Gamma, \Upsilon, *, \circ) \rightrightarrows (\Omega, \theta, \Gamma, \Upsilon, *, \circ)$  by

$$p(\aleph) = \begin{cases} \frac{1 - 3^{-\aleph}}{5}, & \text{if } \aleph \in [0,1], \\ 0, & \text{if } \aleph \in \mathbb{N} - \{1\}, \end{cases}$$

for all  $\aleph \in \Omega \cup \theta$  and take  $\theta \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1)$ , then



$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma(p\aleph, p\Lambda, \theta\varphi) &= \Gamma\left(\frac{1-3^{-\aleph}}{5}, \frac{1-3^{-\Lambda}}{5}, \theta\varphi\right) = \frac{\theta\varphi}{\theta\varphi + \left|\frac{1-3^{-\aleph}}{5} - \frac{1-3^{-\Lambda}}{5}\right|} \\ &= \frac{\theta\varphi}{\theta\varphi + \frac{|3^{-\aleph} - 3^{-\Lambda}|}{5}} \geq \frac{\theta\varphi}{\theta\varphi + \frac{|\aleph - \Lambda|}{5}} = \frac{5\theta\varphi}{5\theta\varphi + |\aleph - \Lambda|} \geq \frac{\varphi}{\varphi + |\aleph - \Lambda|} \\ &= \Gamma(\aleph, \Lambda, \varphi), \\ Y(p\aleph, p\Lambda, \theta\varphi) &= Y\left(\frac{1-3^{-\aleph}}{5}, \frac{1-3^{-\Lambda}}{5}, \theta\varphi\right) = \frac{\left|\frac{1-3^{-\aleph}}{5} - \frac{1-3^{-\Lambda}}{5}\right|}{\theta\varphi + \left|\frac{1-3^{-\aleph}}{5} - \frac{1-3^{-\Lambda}}{5}\right|} \\ &= \frac{\frac{|3^{-\aleph} - 3^{-\Lambda}|}{5}}{\theta\varphi + \frac{|3^{-\aleph} - 3^{-\Lambda}|}{5}} = \frac{|3^{-\aleph} - 3^{-\Lambda}|}{5\theta\varphi + |3^{-\aleph} - 3^{-\Lambda}|} \leq \frac{|\aleph - \Lambda|}{5\theta\varphi + |\aleph - \Lambda|} \\ &\leq \frac{|\aleph - \Lambda|}{\varphi + |\aleph - \Lambda|} = Y(\aleph, \Lambda, \varphi). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the conditions of Theorem 3.1 are fulfilled, and 0 is the only fixed point for p.

#### 4. Application

Let  $\Omega = \mathcal{C}([c, a], [0, +\infty))$  is the set of all continuous functions defined on  $[c, a]$  with values in the interval  $[0, +\infty)$  and  $\Theta = \mathcal{C}([c, a], (-\infty, 0])$  is the set of all continuous functions defined on  $[c, a]$  with values in the interval  $(-\infty, 0]$ . Suppose the integral equation:

$$\aleph(\chi) = \Lambda(\chi) + \delta \int_c^a \Xi(\chi, \rho)\aleph(\chi)d\rho \quad \text{for } \chi, \rho \in [c, a] \quad (13)$$

where  $\delta > 0, \Lambda(\rho)$  is a fuzzy function of  $\rho: \rho \in [c, a]$  and  $\Xi: \mathcal{C}([c, a] \times \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ . Define  $\Gamma$  and  $Y$  by

$$\Gamma(\aleph(\chi), \Lambda(\chi), \varphi) = \sup_{\chi \in [c, a]} \frac{\varphi}{\varphi + |\aleph(\chi) - \Lambda(\chi)|} \quad \text{for all } \aleph, \Lambda \in \Omega \text{ and } \varphi > 0,$$

and

$$Y(\aleph(\chi), \Lambda(\chi), \varphi) = 1 - \sup_{\chi \in [c, a]} \frac{\varphi}{\varphi + |\aleph(\chi) - \Lambda(\chi)|} \quad \text{for all } \aleph, \Lambda \in \Omega \text{ and } \varphi > 0,$$

with CTN and CTCN define by  $v * \xi = v \cdot \xi$  and  $v \circ \xi = \max\{v, \xi\}$ . Then

$(\Omega, \Theta, \Gamma, Y, *, \circ)$  is a complete intuitionistic fuzzy bipolar metric space.

Suppose that  $|\Xi(\chi, \rho)\aleph(\chi) - \Xi(\chi, \rho)\Lambda(\chi)| \leq |\aleph(\chi) - \Lambda(\chi)|$  for  $\aleph \in \Omega, \Lambda \in \Theta, \theta \in (0, 1)$  and  $\forall \chi, \rho \in [c, a]$ . Also, let  $\Xi(\chi, \rho)(\delta \int_c^a d\rho) \leq \theta < 1$ . Then, the integral Equation (13) has a unique solution.

**Proof.** Define  $p: (\Omega, \Theta, \Gamma, Y, *, \circ) \rightrightarrows (\Omega, \Theta, \Gamma, Y, *, \circ)$  by

$$p\aleph(\chi) = \Lambda(\chi) + \delta \int_c^a \Xi(\chi, \rho)\aleph(\chi)d\rho \quad \text{for all } \chi, \rho \in [c, a].$$

Now, for all  $\aleph, \Lambda \in \Omega \cup \Theta$ , we deduce

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Gamma(\mathfrak{p}\aleph(\chi), \mathfrak{p}\Lambda(\chi), \theta\varphi) &= \sup_{\chi \in [c,a]} \frac{\theta\varphi}{\theta\varphi + |\mathfrak{p}\aleph(\chi) - \mathfrak{p}\Lambda(\chi)|} \\
 &= \sup_{\chi \in [c,a]} \frac{\theta\varphi}{\theta\varphi + |\wedge(\chi) + \delta \int_c^a \Xi(\chi, \rho)\aleph(\chi)d\rho - \wedge(\chi) - \delta \int_c^a \Xi(\chi, \rho)\aleph(\chi)d\rho|} \\
 &= \sup_{\chi \in [c,a]} \frac{\theta\varphi}{\theta\varphi + |\delta \int_c^a \Xi(\chi, \rho)\aleph(\chi)d\rho - \delta \int_c^a \Xi(\chi, \rho)\aleph(\chi)d\rho|} \\
 &= \sup_{\chi \in [c,a]} \frac{\theta\varphi}{\theta\varphi + |\Xi(\chi, \rho)\aleph(\chi) - \Xi(\chi, \rho)\Lambda(\chi)|(\delta \int_c^a d\rho)} \geq \sup_{\chi \in [c,a]} \frac{\varphi}{\varphi + |\aleph(\chi) - \Lambda(\chi)|} \\
 &\geq \Gamma(\aleph(\chi), \Lambda(\chi), \varphi),
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 Y(\mathfrak{p}\aleph(\chi), \mathfrak{p}\Lambda(\chi), \theta\varphi) &= 1 - \sup_{\chi \in [c,a]} \frac{\theta\varphi}{\theta\varphi + |\mathfrak{p}\aleph(\chi) - \mathfrak{p}\Lambda(\chi)|} \\
 &= 1 - \sup_{\chi \in [c,a]} \frac{\theta\varphi}{\theta\varphi + |\wedge(\chi) + \delta \int_c^a \Xi(\chi, \rho)\aleph(\chi)d\rho - \wedge(\chi) - \delta \int_c^a \Xi(\chi, \rho)\aleph(\chi)d\rho|} \\
 &= 1 - \sup_{\chi \in [c,a]} \frac{\theta\varphi}{\theta\varphi + |\delta \int_c^a \Xi(\chi, \rho)\aleph(\chi)d\rho - \delta \int_c^a \Xi(\chi, \rho)\aleph(\chi)d\rho|} \\
 &= 1 - \sup_{\chi \in [c,a]} \frac{\theta\varphi}{\theta\varphi + |\Xi(\chi, \rho)\aleph(\chi) - \Xi(\chi, \rho)\Lambda(\chi)|(\delta \int_c^a d\rho)} \leq 1 - \sup_{\chi \in [c,a]} \frac{\varphi}{\varphi + |\aleph(\chi) - \Lambda(\chi)|} \\
 &\leq Y(\aleph(\chi), \Lambda(\chi), \varphi).
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the conditions of Theorem 3.1 are fulfilled and operator  $\mathfrak{p}$  has a unique fixed point.

**Example 4.1** Assume the following integral equation.

$$\aleph(\chi) = |\sin\chi| + \frac{1}{9} \int_0^1 \rho\aleph(\rho)d\rho, \text{ for all } \rho \in [0,1]$$

Then it has a unique solution in  $\Omega \cup \Theta$ .

**Proof.** Let  $\mathfrak{p}: (\Omega, \Theta, \Gamma, Y, *, \circ) \rightrightarrows (\Omega, \Theta, \Gamma, Y, *, \circ)$  be defined by

$$\mathfrak{p}\aleph(\chi) = |\sin\chi| + \frac{1}{9} \int_0^1 \rho\aleph(\rho)d\rho,$$

and set  $\Xi(\chi, \rho)\aleph(\chi) = \frac{1}{9}\rho\aleph(\rho)$  and  $\Xi(\chi, \rho)\Lambda(\chi) = \frac{1}{9}\rho\Lambda(\rho)$ , where  $\aleph, \Lambda \in \Omega \cup \Theta$ , and for all  $\chi, \rho \in [0,1]$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 |\Xi(\chi, \rho)\aleph(\chi) - \Xi(\chi, \rho)\Lambda(\chi)| &= \left| \frac{1}{9}\rho\aleph(\rho) - \frac{1}{9}\rho\Lambda(\rho) \right| = \frac{\rho}{9} |\aleph(\rho) - \Lambda(\rho)| \\
 &\leq |\aleph(\rho) - \Lambda(\rho)|,
 \end{aligned}$$

And  $\frac{1}{9} \int_0^1 \rho d\rho = \frac{1}{9} \left( \frac{1^2}{2} - \frac{0^2}{2} \right) = \frac{1}{9} = \theta < 1$ , where  $\delta = \frac{1}{9}$ . Therefore, the conditions of Theorem 3.1 are fulfilled. Hence  $\mathfrak{p}$  has a unique solution in  $\Omega \cup \Theta$ .

## 5. Conclusion

In this paper, we introduced the concept of intuitionistic fuzzy bipolar metric space and proved fixed point theorems. Readers can explore extending the results in the setting of Chatterjea, Hardy Rogers, Ciric' and Suzuki contraction types. The authors received no specific funding for this study. The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest to report regarding the present study.

**Author Contributions:** Conceptualization, G. Mani. and A. J. Gnanaprakasam; methodology, G. Mani; N. Kausar; validation, S. Khan., M. Munir and E. Ozbilge; formal analysis, writing—original draft preparation, G. Mani; writing—review and editing, N. Kausar; visualization, M. Munir and S. Khan. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

**Funding:** This research received no external funding.

**Data Availability Statement:** Not Applicable.

**Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

## References

- Gaba, Y. U., Aphane, M., & Aydi, H. (2021).  $(\alpha, BK)$ -Contractions in Bipolar Metric Spaces. *Journal of Mathematics*, 2021, 1–6.
- Grabiec, M. (1988). Fixed points in fuzzy metric spaces. *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 27(3), 385–389.
- Gürdal, U., Mutlu, A., & Özkan, K. (2020). Fixed point results for  $\alpha\psi$ -contractive mappings in bipolar metric spaces. *Journal of Inequalities & Special Functions*, 11(1).
- Kausar, N. (2019). Characterizations of non associative ordered semigroups by the properties of their fuzzy ideals with thresholds  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ . *Прикладная Дискретная Математика*, 43, 37–59.
- Kausar, N., & Waqar, M. A. (2019). Characterizations of non-associative rings by their intuitionistic fuzzy bi-ideals. *European Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, 12(1), 226–250.
- Kishore, G. N. V., Agarwal, R. P., Srinuvasa Rao, B., & Srinivasa Rao, R. V. N. (2018). Caristi type cyclic contraction and common fixed point theorems in bipolar metric spaces with applications. *Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, 2018(1), 1–13.

Kishore, G. N. V., Prasad, D. R., Rao, B. S., & Baghavan, V. S. (2019). Some applications via common coupled fixed point theorems in bipolar metric spaces. *Journal of Critical Reviews*, 7, 601–607.

Kishore, G. N. V., Rao, K. P. R., Isik, H., Srinuvasa Rao, B., & Sombabu, A. (2021). Covarian mappings and coupled fixed point results in bipolar metric spaces. *International Journal of Nonlinear Analysis and Applications*, 12(1), 1–15.

Kishore, G. N. V., Rao, K. P. R., Sombabu, A., & Rao, R. (2019). Related results to hybrid pair of mappings and applications in bipolar metric spaces. *Journal of Mathematics*, 2019, 1-25. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2019/8485412>

Konwar, N. (2020). Extension of fixed point results in intuitionistic fuzzy b metric space. *Journal of Intelligent & Fuzzy Systems*, 39(5), 7831–7841.

Kramosil, I., & Michálek, J. (1975). Fuzzy metrics and statistical metric spaces. *Kybernetika*, 11(5), 336–344.

Mutlu, A., & Gürdal, U. (2016). Bipolar metric spaces and some fixed point theorems. *Journal of Nonlinear Sciences and Applications*, 9(9), 5362–5373.

Mutlu, A., Özkan, K., & Gürdal, U. (2020). Locally and weakly contractive principle in bipolar metric spaces. *TWMS Journal of Applied and Engineering Mathematics*, 10(2), 379–388.

Park, J. H. (2004). Intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces. *Chaos, Solitons & Fractals*, 22(5), 1039–1046.

Rao, B. S., Kishore, G. N. V., & Kumar, G. K. (2018). Geraghty type contraction and common coupled fixed point theorems in bipolar metric spaces with applications to homotopy. *International Journal of Mathematics Trends and Technology (IJMTT)*, 63, 25–34.

Rehman, S. U., Jabeen, S., Khan, S. U., & Jaradat, M. M. (2021). Some  $\alpha$ - $\phi$ -Fuzzy Cone Contraction Results with Integral Type Application. *Journal of Mathematics*, 2021, 1–15.

Roy, K., & Saha, M. (2020). Generalized contractions and fixed point theorems over bipolar cone tvs b-metric spaces with an application to homotopy theory. *Matematiski Vesnik*, 72(4), 281-294.

Roy, K., & Saha, M. (2021). Sequential bipolar metric space and well-posedness of fixed point problems. *International Journal of Nonlinear Analysis and Applications*, 12(2), 387–398.

Roy, K., Saha, M., George, R., Guran, L., & Mitrović, Z. D. (2022). Some covariant and contravariant fixed point theorems over bipolar p-metric spaces and applications. *Filomat*, 36(5), 1755–1767.

Schweizer, B., & Sklar, A. (1960). Statistical metric spaces. *Pacific Journal of Mathematics*, 10(1), 313–334.

Shah, T., Kausar, N., & Rehman, I. (2012a). Intuitionistic fuzzy normal subrings over a non-associative ring. *Analele Științifice Ale Universității "Ovidius" Constanța. Seria Matematică*, 20(1), 369–386.

Shah, T., Kausar, N., & Rehman, I. (2012b). Intuitionistic fuzzy normal subrings over a non-associative ring. *Analele Științifice Ale Universității "Ovidius" Constanța. Seria Matematică*, 20(1), 369–386.

Zadeh, L. A. (1965). Fuzzy sets. *Information and Control*, 8(3), 338–353.



© 2023 by the authors. Submitted for possible open access publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).